



Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Glenn Hegar

ehaynes Account ▾

[Bid Postings](#)

[Contracts](#)

[SPD Applications](#)

[TSB Help](#)

Solicitation Notice

[Print](#)

Thank you for using the ESBD, your bid solicitation entry is now complete

Status: Posted

Contact Name: Erica Haynes

Contact Number: 512-664-9008

Contact Email: ehaynes@utsystem.edu

Solicitation ID: 720-2010

Solicitation Title: Master Data Management Platform

Agency/Texas SmartBuy Member Name: University Of Texas System - 720

Posting Requirements: 21+ Days for Solicitation Notice

Solicitation Posting Date: 7/3/2020

Response Due Date: 7/30/2020

Response Due Time: 2:30 PM

Solicitation Description:

The University of Texas System, on behalf of University Lands, is seeking a Master Data Management (MDM) platform to unify our disparate datasets into a centralized data ecosystem that users can trust, enabling the business to make better decisions in a timelier manner.

Class/Item Code: 92004-*Applications Software (For Main Frame Systems)

92007-*Applications Software For Microcomputer Systems: Business, Mathematical/Statistical, Medical, Scientific, Etc.

20836-*Data Processing Software, Microcomputer

20937-*Data Processing Software, Mini/Mainframe Computer

[Modify Solicitation](#)

[Internal Notes](#)

[Cancel Solicitation](#)

[Published Details](#) [Internal Notes](#)

Record Attachments

#	Name	Description
1	ESBD_File_201848_RFP-720-2010 - UT System RFP Bonfire Submission Instructions.docx	Link to RFP: https://utsystem.bonfirehub.com/projects/view/27774



Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Glenn Hegar

- [Home](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

POLICIES

- [Privacy and Security Policy](#)
- [Accessibility Policy](#)
- [Link Policy](#)
- [Texas.gov](#)
- [Search from the Texas State Library](#)
- [Texas Homeland Security](#)
- [Texas Veterans Portal](#)
- [Public Information Act](#)
- [Texas Secretary of State](#)
- [HB855 Browser Statement](#)

OTHER STATE SITES

- [texas.gov](#)
- [Texas Records and Information Locator \(TRAIL\)](#)
- [State Link Policy](#)
- [Texas Veterans Portal](#)



REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

RFP No. 720-2010 Master Data Management Platform

Proposal Submittal Deadline: July 30, 2020 at 2:30 PM CST

The University of Texas System
On behalf of
University Lands

Prepared By:
Erica F. Haynes
The University of Texas System
210 West 7th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2982
ehaynes@utsystem.edu
July 3, 2020

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION 1

SECTION 2: NOTICE TO PROPOSER..... 3

SECTION 3: SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL..... 6

SECTION 4: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS..... 7

SECTION 5: SPECIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS 8

SECTION 6: PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE..... 13

Attachments:

- APPENDIX ONE: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS
- APPENDIX TWO: SAMPLE AGREEMENT
- APPENDIX THREE: ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES
- APPENDIX FOUR: HIGHER EDUCATION CLOUD VENDOR ASSESSMENT TOOL (“HECVAT”)
- APPENDIX FIVE: ADDITIONAL SECURITY QUESTIONS

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Description of The University of Texas System

For more than 130 years, The University of Texas System has been committed to improving the lives of Texans and people all over the world through education, research and health care.

The University of Texas System is one of the nation's largest systems of higher education, with [14 institutions](#) that educate more than 230,000 students. Each year, UT institutions award more than one-third of all undergraduate degrees in Texas and almost two-thirds of all health professional degrees. With about 20,000 faculty – including Nobel laureates – and more than 80,000 health care professionals, researchers, student advisors and support staff, the UT System is one of the largest employers in the state.

Life-changing research and invention of new technologies at UT institutions places the UT System among the [top 10 “World’s Most Innovative Universities,”](#) according to Reuters. The UT System [ranks eighth in the nation in patent applications](#), and because of the high caliber of scientific research conducted at UT institutions, the UT System is ranked No. 1 in Texas and No. 3 in the nation in federal research expenditures.

In addition, the UT System is home to three of the nation's National Cancer Institute Cancer Centers – UT MD Anderson, UT Southwestern and UT Health Science Center-San Antonio – which must meet rigorous criteria for world-class programs in cancer research. And the UT System is the only System in the country to have four Clinical and Translational Science Awards (CTSA) from the National Institutes of Health.

Transformational initiatives implemented over the past several years have cemented UT as a national leader in higher education, including the expansion of educational opportunities in South Texas with the opening of The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley in 2015. And UT was the only system of higher education in the nation that established not one, but two new medical schools in 2016 at The University of Texas at Austin and UT Rio Grande Valley.

University of Texas institutions are setting the standard for excellence in higher education and will continue to do so thanks to our generous donors and the leadership of the [Chancellor](#), [Board of Regents](#) and [UT presidents](#).

1.2 Background and Special Circumstances

University Lands (UL) manages the surface and mineral interests of 2.1 million acres of land across nineteen counties in West Texas for the benefit of the Permanent University Fund (PUF).

The PUF is one of the largest university endowments in the United States and benefits more than twenty educational and health institutions across both [The University of Texas System](#) and [Texas A&M University System](#).

For more information, please visit: <http://www.utlands.utsystem.edu/Home/AboutUs>

1.3 Objective of Request for Proposal

The University of Texas System is soliciting proposals in response to this Request for Proposal No.720-2010 (this “RFP”), from qualified vendors to provide a Master Data Management Platform software services (the “**Services**”).

1.4 Group Purchase Authority

Texas law authorizes institutions of higher education (defined by [§61.003, Education Code](#)) to use the group purchasing procurement method (ref. §§[51.9335](#), [73.115](#), and [74.008](#), *Education Code*). Additional Texas institutions of higher education may therefore elect to enter into a contract with the successful Proposer under this RFP. In particular, Proposer should note that University is part of The University of Texas System (**UT System**), which is comprised of fourteen institutions described at <http://www.utsystem.edu/institutions>. UT System institutions routinely evaluate whether a contract resulting from a procurement conducted by one of the institutions might be suitable for use by another, and if so, this RFP could give rise to additional purchase volumes. As a result, in submitting its proposal, Proposer should consider proposing a pricing model and other commercial terms that take into account the higher volumes and other expanded opportunities that could result from the eventual inclusion of other institutions in the purchase contemplated by this RFP. Any purchases made by other institutions based on this RFP will be the sole responsibility of those institutions.

SECTION 2

NOTICE TO PROPOSER

2.1 Submittal Deadline

University will accept proposals submitted in response to this RFP until 2:30 p.m., Central Standard Time (“CST”) on Thursday, July 30, 2020 (the “**Submittal Deadline**”).

2.2 Deadline for Questions / Concerns

Proposers will direct all questions or concerns regarding this RFP via Bonfire portal.

University will have a reasonable amount of time to respond to questions or concerns. It is University’s intent to respond to all appropriate questions and concerns; however, University reserves the right to decline to respond to any question or concern.

2.3 Criteria for Selection

The successful Proposer, if any, selected by University through this RFP will be the Proposer that submits a proposal on or before the Submittal Deadline that is the most advantageous to University. The successful Proposer is referred to as “**Contractor**.”

Proposer is encouraged to propose terms and conditions offering the maximum benefit to University in terms of (1) service, (2) total overall cost, and (3) project management expertise.

The evaluation of proposals and the selection of Contractor will be based on the information provided in the proposal. University may consider additional information if University determines the information is relevant.

Criteria to be considered by University in evaluating proposals and selecting Contractor, will be these factors:

2.3.1 Threshold Criteria Not Scored.

- A. Ability of University to comply with laws regarding Historically Underutilized Businesses; and
- B. Ability of University to comply with laws regarding purchases from persons with disabilities.

2.3.2 Scored Criteria. The following scored criteria correlates to the Additional Questions Specific to this RFP identified in Section 5.4 of this RFP.

- A. Reputation of the Vendor and of the Vendor’s goods or services (10%)
- B. Quality of the vendor’s goods or services (20%)
- C. Extent to which the goods or services meet the Institution’s needs (30%)
- D. Total long-term cost to the Institution of acquiring the Vendor’s goods or services (10%)
- E. Other relevant factor that a private business entity would consider in selecting a vendor (flexibility) (20%)

2.4 Key Events Schedule

Issuance of RFP	July 3, 2020
Pre-Proposal Conference (ref. Section 2.6 of this RFP)	1:00 p.m. CST on July 14, 2020
Deadline for Questions / Concerns (ref. Section 2.2 of this RFP)	2:30 p.m. CST on July 16, 2020
Submittal Deadline (ref. Section 2.1 of this RFP)	2:30 p.m. CST on July 30, 2020
Proof of Concept (POC) Presentation (ref. Section 5.4, Scope of Work, Item 3 of this RFP)	TBD

2.5 Historically Underutilized Businesses

- 2.5.1 All agencies of the State of Texas are required to make a good faith effort to assist historically underutilized businesses (each a “**HUB**”) in receiving contract awards. The goal of the HUB program is to promote full and equal business opportunity for all businesses in contracting with state agencies. Pursuant to the HUB program, if under the terms of any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP, Contractor subcontracts any of the Services, then Contractor must make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs certified by the Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. Proposals that fail to comply with the requirements contained in this **Section 2.5** will constitute a material failure to comply with advertised specifications and will be rejected by University as non-responsive. Additionally, compliance with good faith effort guidelines is a condition precedent to awarding any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges that, if selected by University, its obligation to make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs when subcontracting any of the Services will continue throughout the term of all agreements and contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP. Furthermore, any subcontracting of the Services by Proposer is subject to review by University to ensure compliance with the HUB program.
- 2.5.2 University has reviewed this RFP in accordance with [Title 34, Texas Administrative Code, Section 20.285](#), and has determined that subcontracting opportunities (HUB and/or Non-HUB) are probable under this RFP. The HUB participation goal for this RFP is **26%**
- 2.5.3 A HUB Subcontracting Plan (“**HSP**”) is required as part of, *but submitted separately from*, Proposer’s proposal. The HSP will be developed and administered in accordance with University’s Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses and incorporated for all purposes.

*Each Proposer, **whether self-performing or planning to subcontract**, must complete and return the HSP in accordance with the terms and conditions of this RFP. Proposers that fail to do so will be considered non-responsive to this RFP in accordance with [§2161.252, Government Code](#).*

Questions regarding the HSP may be directed to:

*Contact: Kyle Hayes
HUB Coordinator*

Phone: 512-322-3745
Email: khayes@utsystem.edu

Contractor will not be permitted to change its HSP after the deadline submittal date unless: (1) Contractor completes a new HSP, setting forth all modifications requested by Contractor, (2) Contractor provides the modified HSP to University, (3) University HUB Program Office approves the modified HSP in writing, and (4) all agreements resulting from this RFP are amended in writing to conform to the modified HSP.

Instructions on completing an HSP

Proposer must visit <https://www.utsystem.edu/offices/historically-underutilized-business/hub-forms> to download the most appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) / Exhibit H form for use with this Request for Proposal. Proposer will find, on the HUB Forms webpage, a link to “[Guide to Selecting the Appropriate HSP Option](#)”. **Click on this link and read the Guide first before selecting an HSP Option.** Proposer shall select, from the four (4) Options available, the Option that is most applicable to Proposer’s subcontracting intentions. These forms are in **fillable** PDF format and must be downloaded and opened with *Adobe Acrobat / Reader* to utilize the fillable function. If Proposer has any questions regarding which Option to use, Proposer shall submit the question via Bonfire portal.

Proposer must complete the HSP, then print, sign and scan *all pages* of the HSP Option selected, with additional support documentation*, **and submit via Bonfire portal.** NOTE: signatures must be “wet” signatures. Digital signatures are not acceptable.

Any proposal submitted in response to this RFP that does not have a corresponding HSP meeting the above requirements may be rejected by University and returned to Proposer as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

Each Proposer’s HSP will be evaluated for completeness and compliance prior to opening the proposal to confirm Proposer compliance with HSP rules and standards. Proposer’s failure to submit one (1) completed and signed HUB Subcontracting Plan **to the Bonfire portal** may result in University’s rejection of the proposal as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

***If Proposer’s submitted HSP refers to specific page(s) / Sections(s) of Proposer’s proposal that explain how Proposer will perform entire contract with its own equipment, supplies, materials and/or employees, Proposer must submit copies of those pages with the HSP sent to the Bonfire Portal. In addition, all solicitation emails to potential subcontractors must be included as backup documentation to the Proposer’s HSP to demonstrate Good Faith Effort.** Failure to do so will slow the evaluation process and may result in DISQUALIFICATION.

2.6 Pre-Proposal Call

University will hold a pre-proposal call at 1:00 p.m., Central Time on Tuesday, July 14, 2020

Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

[+1 737-220-2549](tel:+17372202549) United States, Austin (Toll)

[\(888\) 614-9689](tel:(888)614-9689) United States (Toll-free)

Conference ID: 851 400 490#

SECTION 3

SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL

3.1 Proposal Validity Period

Each proposal must state that it will remain valid for University's acceptance for a minimum of one hundred and twenty (120) days after the Submittal Deadline, to allow time for evaluation, selection, and any unforeseen delays.

3.2 Terms and Conditions

3.2.1 Proposer must comply with the requirements and specifications contained in this RFP, including the Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**), the Notice to Proposer (ref. **Section 2** of this RFP), Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX ONE**) and the Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP). If there is a conflict among the provisions in this RFP, the provision requiring Proposer to supply the better quality or greater quantity of services will prevail, or if such conflict does not involve quality or quantity, then interpretation will be in the following order of precedence:

3.2.1.1. Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP);

3.2.1.2. Agreement (ref. **Section 4** and **APPENDIX TWO**);

3.2.1.3. Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX ONE**);

3.2.1.4. Notice to Proposers (ref. **Section 2** of this RFP).

SECTION 4

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The terms and conditions contained in the attached Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**) or, in the sole discretion of University, terms and conditions substantially similar to those contained in the Agreement, will constitute and govern any agreement that results from this RFP. *If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the Agreement, Proposer will submit redlined APPENDIX TWO as part of its proposal in accordance with Section 5.2.1 of this RFP.* Proposer's exceptions will be reviewed by University and may result in disqualification of Proposer's proposal as non-responsive to this RFP. If Proposer's exceptions do not result in disqualification of Proposer's proposal, then University may consider Proposer's exceptions when University evaluates the Proposer's proposal.

Additionally, *Proposer must submit as part of its Proposal all terms and conditions that it proposes to include in any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP (such as software license terms and conditions) in accordance with Section 5.2.1 of this RFP.* Proposer bears all risk and responsibility for its failure to include such terms and conditions in its Proposal. The University will not be bound by or required to accept or agree to any terms and conditions that a Proposer includes (or fails to include) in its Proposal.

SECTION 5

SPECIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

5.1 General

The minimum requirements and the specifications for the Services, as well as certain requests for information to be provided by Proposer as part of its proposal, are set forth below. As indicated in **Section 2.3** of this RFP, the successful Proposer is referred to as the “**Contractor.**”

Contract Term: University intends to enter into an agreement with the Contractor to perform the Services for an initial three (3) year base term, with the option to renew for two (2) additional one (1) year renewal periods, upon mutual written agreement of both parties.

5.2 Additional Questions Specific to this RFP

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer’s proposal:

- 5.2.1 If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**), Proposer must redline APPENDIX TWO and include **APPENDIX TWO** as part of its Proposal. If Proposer agrees with terms or conditions set forth in the **APPENDIX TWO**, Proposer will submit a written statement acknowledging it.
- 5.2.2 In its proposal, Proposer must indicate whether it will consent to include in the Agreement the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language that is set forth in **APPENDIX THREE, Access by Individuals with Disabilities**. If Proposer objects to the inclusion of the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language in the Agreement, Proposer must, as part of its proposal, specifically identify and describe in detail all of the reasons for Proposer’s objection. NOTE THAT A GENERAL OBJECTION IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION. NOTE THAT PROPOSER IS REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A COMPLETED VPAT (VOLUNTARY PRODUCT ACCESSIBILITY TERMPLETE) WITH PROPOSAL. The VPAT document to complete is located at the following website: <https://www.itic.org/dotAsset/d432b9da-3696-47fe-a521-7d0458d48202.doc>
- 5.2.3 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX FOUR**, Higher Education Vendor Assessment Tool (HECVAT).
- 5.2.4 In In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX FIVE**, Additional Security Questions.

5.3 Project Overview and Scope of Work

Project Overview

University Lands is seeking a Master Data Management (MDM) platform to unify our disparate datasets into a centralized data ecosystem that users can trust, enabling the business to make better decisions in a timelier manner.

To reach these goals, University Lands is now accepting bids in response to this Request for Proposal.

Scope of Work

This RFP requires the installation and configuration of a commercial MDM platform that will autonomously ingest multiple oil and gas well data sources and apply data provenance and quality rules to produce a “Golden” well record for use by various UL systems and applications.

Beyond this requirement, UL seeks a platform that can be extended through future configuration to support other data types, preferably through configuration by UL staff.

Additionally, University Lands is working to instill strong data governance principles across our organization. University requires a system that streamlines integrations upstream and downstream, applies business quality and provenance rules and provide a hierarchical permissions model that allows us to apply our data governance.

1. Design and implement a UL Master Data Management Solution

University Lands currently has multiple SQL Server databases. Most of them are transactional with a couple reserved for analytical purposes. Data consumed from 3rd party data vendors and systems are either manual or done with scripts that reach out to those sources using different methods, on various schedules and with little uniformity.

- Discovery/Systems Analysis
- Training
- Installation
- Configuration
- Integration

2. Integrate key sources and systems

UL currently uses Excel, SSRS, Spotfire, and Power BI for reporting and analytics. Our intent is to narrow that down to the BI tools and have a “data mart” where users retrieve their data in a controlled and trusted manner. UL uses spatial data throughout the organization and are looking to integrate Geographic Information System (GIS) workflows. Geology and Geophysics (G&G) is also a key focus, and UL utilizes the likes of IHS Kingdom and Petrel.

a. MDM input sources and formats to be supported

- IHS Data Subscriptions (Enerdeq)
- Enverus/DrillingInfo Data Subscriptions
- SQL Server databases
- ESRI - File Geodatabase and Shapefile
- RESTful/SOAP Web Services
- FTP Sites
- Email Accounts/Exchange Server
- File Systems
- Web Scraping (HTML...)
- Data Lakes

b. Key systems requiring direct access/integration to MDM data

- Kingdom
- Petrel
- Spotfire
- Power BI
- ArcGIS Enterprise Platform
- UL Custom Developed Solutions

3. Proof of Concept (POC)

Prior to award, a single vendor or a short-list of vendors *may* be selected to provide a functional Proof of Concept. At a minimum, the POC will;

- Ingest UL SQL Server well data
- Ingest subscription data from Enerdeq and Enverus
- Enable UL to evaluate configuration and management tools
- Demonstrate the production of “Golden” well records
- Enable UL evaluation of system access to MDM data from;
 - Kingdom,
 - Petrel,
 - Spotfire,
 - Power BI
 - ArcGIS Pro
 - ArcGIS Enterprise Portal

5.4 Additional Questions Specific to this RFP

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer's proposal. These questions corollate to the Scored Criteria identified in Section 2.3.2 of this RFP.

Reputation (10%)

1. Provide the name and contact information for three (3) U.S. client references that currently use Proposer's product that are similar in scope, size, and complexity to the Services described in this RFP.
 - Provide the following information for each customer:
 - Customer name and address;
 - Contact name with email address and phone number;
 - Time period in which services were provided;
 - Short description of services provided.
2. Please speak to the maturity of your MDM platform.
3. Please describe the industry diversity of Proposer's customer base?

Quality (20%)

4. Is Proposer willing to arrange a client visit and/or demonstration of an implementation of the MDM platform that is similar to UL's requirement? If yes, indicate how you will demo your solution.
5. Does Proposer outsource software customizations to offshore resources? If yes, expand.
6. Describe Proposer's level of customer support services and related user guide documentation.

Meets University Lands Requirements (30%)

7. In what environment does Proposer's platform run? i.e. on-premise, cloud, either...

8. What 3rd party well data sources does Proposer's platform currently connect to?
9. Does Proposer's platform manage "Golden" records of different spatial data sources? If yes, expand.
10. What industry standard formats does Proposer's platform ingest out-of-the-box?
11. What database platform is Proposer's solution built on?
12. Does Proposer's solution provide an API? If yes, expand.
13. How are MDM rules for establishing "Golden" records configured? I.e. interface, configuration file, hard-coded...
14. How does Proposer's platform facilitate data governance?
15. Describe the capabilities of Proposer's product regarding curating the "golden records".
 - Are they rules based?
 - Are they at the field level?
 - Do they include providence, timeliness and quality measures?
16. Provide brief explanation on the ability to accurately ingest, store, disseminate technical data types:
 - Geoscience data: log curves (digital & raster/image formats), formation correlations, core information/data, microseismic
 - Engineering data: Production streams (from various sources), completion information
 - Well operational data: permits, survey plats, well bore surveys, well bore diagram information
 - Well history and lifecycle information

Maintenance (10%)

17. Does Proposer's platform provide any system health monitoring or error reporting tools? If yes, expand.
18. Are there regular update releases to the COTS component of Proposers platform? If yes, expand.

Flexibility (20%)

19. Is Proposer's data model open for extension by clients? If yes, expand.
20. Are any other types of 3rd party data source connections currently available through Proposer's platform? If yes, expand.

21. Does Proposer's product implement any APIs that UL can utilize for custom integrations? If yes, expand.
22. Does Proposer's product have the ability to Master other data domains beyond wells, such as land and contract data? If yes, expand.

SECTION 6

PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE

Proposal of: _____
(Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System on behalf of University Lands

RFP No. 720-2010 Master Data Management Platform

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Having carefully examined all the specifications and requirements of this RFP and any attachments thereto, the undersigned proposes to furnish the required pursuant to the above-referenced Request for Proposal upon the terms quoted (firm fixed price) below. The University will not accept proposals which include assumptions or exceptions to the work identified in this RFP.

6.1 Pricing for Services Offered (10%)

1. Is consulting provided on a fixed cost or time and materials basis? Explain.
2. What are Proposers software maintenance costs and what do they include?
3. Are there any incremental costs to Proposer's platform, i.e. credits for storage, analytics, etc.
4. List applicable consulting fees: implementation, training, and customizations
5. List annual payment schedule.

6.2 Discounts

Describe all discounts that may be available to University, including, educational, federal, state and local discounts.

6.3 Delivery Schedule of Events and Time Periods

Proposer's schedule must be included and clearly expressed. Indicate number of calendar days needed to commence the Services from the effective date of the Services Agreement:

_____ Calendar Days

6.4 Payment Terms

University's standard payment terms are "net 30 days" as mandated by the *Texas Prompt Payment Act* (ref. [Chapter 2251, Government Code](#)).

Indicate below the prompt payment discount that Proposer offers:

Prompt Payment Discount: _____% _____ days / net 30 days.

[Section 51.012, Education Code](#), authorizes University to make payments through electronic funds transfer methods. Proposer agrees to accept payments from University through those methods, including the automated clearing house system ("ACH"). Proposer agrees to provide Proposer's banking information to University in writing on Proposer letterhead signed by an authorized representative of Proposer. Prior to the first payment, University will confirm Proposer's banking information. Changes to Proposer's bank information must be communicated to University in writing at least thirty (30) days before the effective date of the change and must include an [IRS Form W-9](#) signed by an authorized representative of Proposer.

University, an agency of the State of Texas, is exempt from Texas Sales & Use Tax on goods and services in accordance with [§151.309, Tax Code](#), and [Title 34 TAC §3.322](#). Pursuant to [34 TAC §3.322\(c\)\(4\)](#), University is not required to provide a tax exemption certificate to establish its tax exempt status.

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: _____

By: _____
(Authorized Signature for Proposer)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX ONE
PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION..... 1

SECTION 2: EXECUTION OF OFFER 4

SECTION 3: PROPOSER'S GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE 7

SECTION 4: ADDENDA CHECKLIST 9

SECTION 1

GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Purpose

University is soliciting competitive sealed proposals from Proposers having suitable qualifications and experience providing services in accordance with the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in this RFP. This RFP provides sufficient information for interested parties to prepare and submit proposals for consideration by University.

By submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that it understands this RFP and has full knowledge of the scope, nature, quality, and quantity of the services to be performed, the detailed requirements of the services to be provided, and the conditions under which such services are to be performed. Proposer also certifies that it understands that all costs relating to preparing a response to this RFP will be the sole responsibility of the Proposer.

PROPOSER IS CAUTIONED TO READ THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS RFP CAREFULLY AND TO SUBMIT A COMPLETE RESPONSE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS AND QUESTIONS AS DIRECTED.

1.2 Inquiries and Interpretations

University may in its sole discretion respond in writing to written inquiries concerning this RFP and mail its response as an Addendum to all parties recorded by University as having received a copy of this RFP. Only University's responses that are made by formal written Addenda will be binding on University. Any verbal responses, written interpretations or clarifications other than Addenda to this RFP will be without legal effect. All Addenda issued by University prior to the Submittal Deadline will be and are hereby incorporated as a part of this RFP for all purposes.

Proposers are required to acknowledge receipt of each Addendum as specified in this Section. The Proposer must acknowledge all Addenda by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4** of **APPENDIX ONE**). The Addenda Checklist must be received by University prior to the Submittal Deadline and should accompany the Proposer's proposal.

Any interested party that receives this RFP by means other than directly from University is responsible for notifying University that it has received an RFP package, and should provide its name, address, telephone and facsimile (**FAX**) numbers, and email address, to University, so that if University issues Addenda to this RFP or provides written answers to questions, that information can be provided to that party.

1.3 Public Information

Proposer is hereby notified that University strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information.

University may seek to protect from disclosure all information submitted in response to this RFP until such time as a final agreement is executed.

Upon execution of a final agreement, University will consider all information, documentation, and other materials requested to be submitted in response to this RFP, to be of a non-confidential and non-proprietary nature and, therefore, subject to public disclosure under the *Texas Public Information Act* (ref. Chapter 552, Government Code). Proposer will be advised of a request for public information that implicates their materials and will have the opportunity to raise any objections to disclosure to the Texas Attorney General. Certain information may be protected from release under §§552.101, 552.104, 552.110, 552.113, and 552.131, *Government Code*.

1.4 Type of Agreement

Contractor, if any, will be required to enter into a contract with University in a form substantially similar to the Agreement between University and Contractor (the "**Agreement**") attached to this RFP as **APPENDIX TWO** and incorporated for all purposes.

1.5 Proposal Evaluation Process

University will select Contractor by using the competitive sealed proposal process described in this Section. Any proposals that are not submitted by the Submittal Deadline or that are not accompanied by required number of completed and signed originals of the HSP will be rejected by University as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with this RFP (ref. **Section 2.5.4** of this RFP). Upon completion of the initial review and evaluation of proposals, University may invite one or more selected Proposers to participate in oral presentations. University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid public disclosure of the contents of a proposal prior to selection of Contractor.

University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of the proposals initially submitted, without discussion, clarification or modification. In the alternative, University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of negotiation with any of the Proposers. In conducting negotiations, University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid disclosing the contents of competing proposals.

University may discuss and negotiate all elements of proposals submitted by Proposers within a specified competitive range. For purposes of negotiation, University may establish, after an initial review of the proposals, a competitive range of acceptable or potentially acceptable proposals composed of the highest rated proposal(s). In that event, University may defer further action on proposals not included within the competitive range pending the selection of Contractor; provided, however, University reserves the right to include additional proposals in the competitive range if deemed to be in the best interest of University.

After the Submittal Deadline but before final selection of Contractor, University may permit Proposer to revise its proposal in order to obtain the Proposer's best and final offer. In that event, representations made by Proposer in its revised proposal, including price and fee quotes, will be binding on Proposer. University will provide each Proposer within the competitive range with an equal opportunity for discussion and revision of its proposal. University is not obligated to select the Proposer offering the most attractive economic terms if that Proposer is not the most advantageous to University overall, as determined by University.

University reserves the right to (a) enter into an agreement for all or any portion of the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP with one or more Proposers, (b) reject any and all proposals and re-solicit proposals, or (c) reject any and all proposals and temporarily or permanently abandon this selection process, if deemed to be in the best interests of University. Proposer is hereby notified that University will maintain in its files concerning this RFP a written record of the basis upon which a selection, if any, is made by University.

1.6 Proposer's Acceptance of RFP Terms

Proposer (1) accepts [a] Proposal Evaluation Process (ref. **Section 1.5** of **APPENDIX ONE**), [b] Criteria for Selection (ref. **2.3** of this RFP), [c] Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP), [d] terms and conditions of the Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**), and [e] all other requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP; and (2) acknowledges that some subjective judgments must be made by University during this RFP process.

1.7 Solicitation for Proposal and Proposal Preparation Costs

Proposer understands and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for proposals and University has made no representation written or oral that one or more agreements with University will be awarded under this RFP; (2) University issues this RFP predicated on University's anticipated requirements for the Services, and University has made no representation, written or oral, that any particular scope of services will actually be required by University; and (3) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost that arises from Proposer's preparation of a proposal in response to this RFP.

1.8 Proposal Requirements and General Instructions

- 1.8.1 Proposer should carefully read the information contained herein and submit a complete proposal in response to all requirements and questions as directed.
- 1.8.2 Proposals and any other information submitted by Proposer in response to this RFP will become the property of University.
- 1.8.3 University will not provide compensation to Proposer for any expenses incurred by the Proposer for proposal preparation or for demonstrations or oral presentations that may be made by Proposer. Proposer submits its proposal at its own risk and expense.
- 1.8.4 Proposals that (i) are qualified with conditional clauses; (ii) alter, modify, or revise this RFP in any way; or (iii) contain irregularities of any kind, are subject to disqualification by University, at University's sole discretion.
- 1.8.5 Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of Proposer's ability to meet the requirements and specifications of this RFP. Emphasis should be on completeness, clarity of content, and responsiveness to the requirements and specifications of this RFP.
- 1.8.6 University makes no warranty or guarantee that an award will be made as a result of this RFP. University reserves the right to accept or reject any or all proposals, waive any formalities, procedural requirements, or minor technical inconsistencies, and delete any requirement or specification from this RFP or the Agreement when deemed to be in University's best interest. University reserves the right to seek clarification from any Proposer concerning any item contained in its proposal prior to final selection. Such clarification may be provided by telephone conference or personal meeting with or writing to University, at University's sole discretion. Representations made by Proposer within its proposal will be binding on Proposer.
- 1.8.7 Any proposal that fails to comply with the requirements contained in this RFP may be rejected by University, in University's sole discretion.

1.9 Preparation and Submittal Instructions

1.9.1 Specifications and Additional Questions

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N / A (Not Applicable) or N / R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N / A or N / R.

1.9.2 Execution of Offer

Proposer must complete, sign and return the attached Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. The Execution of Offer must be signed by a representative of Proposer duly authorized to bind the Proposer to its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Execution of Offer may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

1.9.3 Pricing and Delivery Schedule

Proposer must complete and return the Pricing and Delivery Schedule (ref. **Section 6** of this RFP), as part of its proposal. In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, the Proposer should describe in detail (a) the total fees for the entire scope of the Services; and (b) the method by which the fees are calculated. The fees must be inclusive of all associated costs for delivery, labor, insurance, taxes, overhead, and profit.

University will not recognize or accept any charges or fees to perform the Services that are not specifically stated in the Pricing and Delivery Schedule.

In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, Proposer should describe each significant phase in the process of providing the Services to University, and the time period within which Proposer proposes to be able to complete each such phase.

1.9.4 Proposer's General Questionnaire

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Proposer's General Questionnaire (ref. **Section 3** of **APPENDIX ONE**). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N / A (Not Applicable) or N / R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N / A or N / R.

1.9.5 Addenda Checklist

Proposer should acknowledge all Addenda to this RFP (if any) by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Addenda Checklist may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

1.9.6 Submission

*Proposer should submit all proposal materials as instructed in **Section 3** of this RFP. RFP No. (ref. **Title Page** of this RFP) and Submittal Deadline (ref. **Section 2.1** of this RFP) should be clearly shown (1) in the Subject line of any email transmitting the proposal, and (2) in the lower left-hand corner on the top surface of any envelope or package containing the proposal. In addition, the name and the return address of the Proposer should be clearly visible in any email or on any envelope or package.*

University will not under any circumstances consider a proposal that is received after the Submittal Deadline or which is not accompanied by the HSP as required by **Section 2.5** of this RFP. University will not accept proposals submitted by email, telephone or FAX transmission.

Except as otherwise provided in this RFP, no proposal may be changed, amended, or modified after it has been submitted to University. However, a proposal may be withdrawn and resubmitted at any time prior to the Submittal Deadline. No proposal may be withdrawn after the Submittal Deadline without University's consent, which will be based on Proposer's written request explaining and documenting the reason for withdrawal, which is acceptable to University.

SECTION 2

EXECUTION OF OFFER

THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH THE PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL.

- 2.1 Representations and Warranties.** Proposer represents, warrants, certifies, acknowledges, and agrees as follows:
- 2.1.1 Proposer will furnish the Services to University and comply with all terms, conditions, requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and any resulting Agreement.
 - 2.1.2 This RFP is a solicitation for a proposal and is not a contract or an offer to contract. Submission of a proposal by Proposer in response to this RFP will not create a contract between University and Proposer. University has made no representation or warranty, written or oral, that one or more contracts with University will be awarded under this RFP. Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost arising from Proposer's preparation of a response to this RFP.
 - 2.1.3 Proposer is a reputable company that is lawfully and regularly engaged in providing the Services.
 - 2.1.4 Proposer has the necessary experience, knowledge, abilities, skills, and resources to perform the Services.
 - 2.1.5 Proposer is aware of, is fully informed about, and is in full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances relating to performance of the Services.
 - 2.1.6 Proposer understands (i) the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and (ii) the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement under which Proposer will be required to operate.
 - 2.1.7 Proposer will not delegate any of its duties or responsibilities under this RFP or the Agreement to any sub-contractor, except as expressly provided in the Agreement.
 - 2.1.8 Proposer will maintain any insurance coverage required by the Agreement during the entire term.
 - 2.1.9 All statements, information and representations prepared and submitted in response to this RFP are current, complete, true and accurate. University will rely on such statements, information and representations in selecting Contractor. If selected by University, Proposer will notify University immediately of any material change in any matters with regard to which Proposer has made a statement or representation or provided information.
 - 2.1.10 PROPOSER WILL DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UNIVERSITY, INDEMNIFY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UNIVERSITY, THE STATE OF TEXAS, AND ALL OF THEIR REGENTS, OFFICERS, AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES, FROM AND AGAINST ALL ACTIONS, SUITS, DEMANDS, COSTS, DAMAGES, LIABILITIES AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTLING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, ARISING OUT OF, CONNECTED WITH, OR RESULTING FROM ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS OR OMISSIONS OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PROPOSER OR ANY AGENT, EMPLOYEE, SUBCONTRACTOR, OR SUPPLIER OF PROPOSER IN THE EXECUTION OR PERFORMANCE OF ANY CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT RESULTING FROM THIS RFP.
 - 2.1.11 Pursuant to §§[2107.008](#) and [2252.903](#), *Government Code*, any payments owing to Proposer under the Agreement may be applied directly to any debt or delinquency that Proposer owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas, regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.
 - 2.1.12 Any terms, conditions, or documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal are applicable to this procurement only to the extent that they (a) do not conflict with the laws of the State of Texas or this RFP, and (b) do not place any requirements on University that are not set forth in this RFP. Submission of a proposal is Proposer's good faith intent to enter into the Agreement with University as specified in this RFP and that Proposer's intent is not contingent upon University's acceptance or execution of any terms, conditions, or other documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal.
 - 2.1.13 Pursuant to [Chapter 2270, Government Code](#), Proposer certifies Proposer (1) does not currently boycott Israel; and (2) will not boycott Israel during the Term of the Agreement. Proposer acknowledges the Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.
 - 2.1.14 Pursuant to [Subchapter F, Chapter 2252, Government Code](#), Proposer certifies Proposer is not engaged in business with Iran, Sudan, or a foreign terrorist organization. Proposer acknowledges the Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.
- 2.2 No Benefit to Public Servants.** Proposer has not given or offered to give, nor does Proposer intend to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant in connection with its proposal. Failure to sign this Execution of Offer, or signing with a false statement, may void the submitted proposal or any resulting Agreement, and Proposer may be removed from all proposer lists at University.
- 2.3 Tax Certification.** Proposer is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under [Chapter 171, Tax Code](#), or Proposer is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or Proposer is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable. A false certification will be deemed a material breach of any resulting contract or agreement and, at University's option, may result in termination of any resulting Agreement.

- 2.4 Antitrust Certification.** Neither Proposer nor any firm, corporation, partnership or institution represented by Proposer, nor anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, codified in [§15.01 et seq., Business and Commerce Code](#), or the Federal antitrust laws, nor communicated directly or indirectly the proposal made to any competitor or any other person engaged in such line of business.
- 2.5 Authority Certification.** The individual signing this document and the documents made a part of this RFP, is authorized to sign the documents on behalf of Proposer and to bind Proposer under any resulting Agreement.
- 2.6 Child Support Certification.** Under [§231.006, Family Code](#), relating to child support, the individual or business entity named in Proposer's proposal is not ineligible to receive award of the Agreement, and any Agreements resulting from this RFP may be terminated if this certification is inaccurate.
- 2.7 Relationship Certifications.**
- No relationship, whether by blood, marriage, business association, capital funding agreement or by any other such kinship or connection exists between the owner of any Proposer that is a sole proprietorship, the officers or directors of any Proposer that is a corporation, the partners of any Proposer that is a partnership, the joint venturers of any Proposer that is a joint venture, or the members or managers of any Proposer that is a limited liability company, on one hand, and an employee of any member institution of University, on the other hand, other than the relationships which have been previously disclosed to University in writing.
 - Proposer has not been an employee of any member institution of University within the immediate twelve (12) months prior to the Submittal Deadline.
 - No person who, in the past four (4) years served as an executive of a state agency was involved with or has any interest in Proposer's proposal or any contract resulting from this RFP (ref. [§669.003, Government Code](#)).
 - All disclosures by Proposer in connection with this certification will be subject to administrative review and approval before University enters into any Agreement resulting from this RFP with Proposer.
- 2.8 Compliance with Equal Employment Opportunity Laws.** Proposer is in compliance with all federal laws and regulations pertaining to Equal Employment Opportunities and Affirmative Action.
- 2.9 Compliance with Safety Standards.** All products and services offered by Proposer to University in response to this RFP meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law ([Public Law 91-596](#)) and the *Texas Hazard Communication Act*, [Chapter 502, Health and Safety Code](#), and all related regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this RFP.
- 2.10 Exceptions to Certifications.** Proposer will and has disclosed, as part of its proposal, any exceptions to the information stated in this *Execution of Offer*. All information will be subject to administrative review and approval prior to the time University makes an award or enters into any Agreement with Proposer.
- 2.11 Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act Certification.** If Proposer will sell or lease computer equipment to University under any Agreement resulting from this RFP then, pursuant to [§361.965\(c\), Health & Safety Code](#), Proposer is in compliance with the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act set forth in [Chapter 361, Subchapter Y, Health & Safety Code](#), and the rules adopted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under that Act as set forth in [30 TAC Chapter 328, §361.952\(2\), Health & Safety Code](#), states that, for purposes of the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act, the term "computer equipment" means a desktop or notebook computer and includes a computer monitor or other display device that does not contain a tuner.
- 2.12 Conflict of Interest Certification.**
- Proposer is not a debarred vendor or the principal of a debarred vendor (i.e. owner, proprietor, sole or majority shareholder, director, president, managing partner, etc.) either at the state or federal level.
 - Proposer's provision of services or other performance under any Agreement resulting from this RFP will not constitute an actual or potential conflict of interest.
 - Proposer has disclosed any personnel who are related to any current or former employees of University.
 - Proposer has not given, nor does Proposer intend to give, at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to an officer or employee of University in connection with this RFP.
- 2.13 Proposer should complete the following information:**

If Proposer is a Corporation, then State of Incorporation: _____

If Proposer is a Corporation, then Proposer's Corporate Charter Number: _____

RFP No.: 720-2010 Master Data Management Platform

NOTICE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER §§[552.021](#) AND [552.023](#), GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER [§559.004, GOVERNMENT CODE](#), INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.

Submitted and Certified By:

(Proposer Institution's Name)

(Signature of Duly Authorized Representative)

(Printed Name / Title)

(Date Signed)

(Proposer's Street Address)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Telephone Number)

(FAX Number)

(Email Address)

SECTION 3

PROPOSER'S GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER §§552.021 AND 552.023, *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER 559.004, *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.

Proposals must include responses to the questions contained in this Proposer's General Questionnaire. Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N / A (Not Applicable) or N / R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer will explain the reason when responding N / A or N / R.

3.1 Proposer Profile

3.1.1 Legal name of Proposer company:

Address of principal place of business:

Address of office that would be providing service under the Agreement:

Number of years in Business: _____

State of incorporation: _____

Number of Employees: _____

Annual Revenues Volume: _____

Name of Parent Corporation, if any _____

NOTE: If Proposer is a subsidiary, University prefers to enter into a contract or agreement with the Parent Corporation or to receive assurances of performance from the Parent Corporation.

3.1.2 State whether Proposer will provide a copy of its financial statements for the past two (2) years, if requested by University.

3.1.3 Proposer will provide a financial rating of the Proposer entity and any related documentation (such as a Dunn and Bradstreet analysis) that indicates the financial stability of Proposer.

3.1.4 Is Proposer currently for sale or involved in any transaction to expand or to become acquired by another business entity? If yes, Proposer will explain the expected impact, both in organizational and directional terms.

3.1.5 Proposer will provide any details of all past or pending litigation or claims filed against Proposer that would affect its performance under the Agreement with University (if any).

3.1.6 Is Proposer currently in default on any loan agreement or financing agreement with any bank, financial institution, or other entity? If yes, Proposer will specify the pertinent date(s), details, circumstances, and describe the current prospects for resolution.

3.1.7 Proposer will provide a customer reference list of no less than three (3) organizations with which Proposer currently has contracts and / or to which Proposer has previously provided services (within the past five (5) years) of a type and scope similar to those required by University's RFP. Proposer will include in its customer reference list the customer's company name, contact person, telephone number, project description, length of business relationship, and background of services provided by Proposer.

- 3.1.8 Does any relationship exist (whether by family kinship, business association, capital funding agreement, or any other such relationship) between Proposer and any employee of University? If yes, Proposer will explain.
- 3.1.9 Proposer will provide the name and Social Security Number for each person having at least 25% ownership interest in Proposer. This disclosure is mandatory pursuant to [§231.006, Family Code](#), and will be used for the purpose of determining whether an owner of Proposer with an ownership interest of at least 25% is more than 30 days delinquent in paying child support. Further disclosure of this information is governed by the *Texas Public Information Act* (ref. [Chapter 552, Government Code](#)), and other applicable law.

3.2 Approach to Project Services

- 3.2.1 Proposer will provide a statement of the Proposer's service approach and will describe any unique benefits to University from doing business with Proposer. Proposer will briefly describe its approach for each of the required services identified in **Section 5.3** Scope of Work of this RFP.
- 3.2.2 Proposer will provide an estimate of the earliest starting date for services following execution of the Agreement.
- 3.2.3 Proposer will submit a work plan with key dates and milestones. The work plan should include:
- 3.2.3.1 Identification of tasks to be performed;
 - 3.2.3.2 Time frames to perform the identified tasks;
 - 3.2.3.3 Project management methodology;
 - 3.2.3.4 Implementation strategy; and
 - 3.2.3.5 The expected time frame in which the services would be implemented.
- 3.2.4 Proposer will describe the types of reports or other written documents Proposer will provide (if any) and the frequency of reporting, if more frequent than required in this RFP. Proposer will include samples of reports and documents if appropriate.

3.3 General Requirements

- 3.3.1 Proposer will provide summary resumes for its proposed key personnel who will be providing services under the Agreement with University, including their specific experiences with similar service projects, and number of years of employment with Proposer.
- 3.3.2 Proposer will describe any difficulties it anticipates in performing its duties under the Agreement with University and how Proposer plans to manage these difficulties. Proposer will describe the assistance it will require from University.

3.4 Service Support

Proposer will describe its service support philosophy, how it is implemented, and how Proposer measures its success in maintaining this philosophy.

3.5 Quality Assurance

Proposer will describe its quality assurance program, its quality requirements, and how they are measured.

3.6 Miscellaneous

- 3.6.1 Proposer will provide a list of any additional services or benefits not otherwise identified in this RFP that Proposer would propose to provide to University. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.
- 3.6.2 Proposer will provide details describing any unique or special services or benefits offered or advantages to be gained by University from doing business with Proposer. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.
- 3.6.3 Does Proposer have a contingency plan or disaster recovery plan in the event of a disaster? If so, then Proposer will provide a copy of the plan.

SECTION 4
ADDENDA CHECKLIST

Proposal of: _____
(Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System on behalf of University Lands

Ref.: Master Data Management Platform

RFP No.: 720-2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The undersigned Proposer hereby acknowledges receipt of the following Addenda to the captioned RFP (initial if applicable).

Note: If there was only one (1) Addendum, initial just the first blank after No. 1, not all five (5) blanks below.

No. 1 _____ No. 2 _____ No. 3 _____ No. 4 _____ No. 5 _____

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: _____

By: _____
(Authorized Signature for Proposer)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX TWO
SAMPLE AGREEMENT
(INCLUDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENT)

APPENDIX THREE

ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

Contractor represents and warrants (**EIR Accessibility Warranty**) the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support Contractor provides to University under this Agreement (**EIRs**) comply with applicable requirements set forth in [1 TAC Chapter 213](#), and [1 TAC §206.70](#) (ref. [Subchapter M, Chapter 2054, Government Code](#).) To the extent Contractor becomes aware that EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Contractor represents and warrants it will, at no cost to University, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. If Contractor fails or is unable to do so, University may terminate this Agreement and, within thirty (30) days after termination, Contractor will refund to University all amounts University paid under this Agreement.

APPENDIX FOUR
HIGHER EDUCATION CLOUD VENDOR ASSESSMENT TOOL (“HECVAT”)
(INCLUDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENT)

APPENDIX FIVE

ADDITIONAL SECURITY QUESTIONS

Network Security

1. Can you provide sanitized copies of system architecture diagrams and data flow diagrams, as they pertain to the service being provided?
2. Do you use firewalls to enforce segmentation between trusted and untrusted networks?
3. If you require remote connectivity to the UNL network to access our data, or to perform support/administration tasks, do you enforce secure remote access controls?
4. Do you use strong encryption to protect confidential information in transit?

Application Security

5. Do you follow a formal software development process that includes application security requirements? Please explain.
6. Do you perform security reviews of your application source code?

Data Storage Security

7. Will you store UNL data or configuration information in your infrastructure? If so, how will you protect this data?
8. Will you store any UNL data outside of the continental US?
9. Do you purge application data according to a defined data retention schedule?
10. Will UNL data be captured/stored in your backups? If so, please indicate the how you will secure that data.
11. Will UNL Data be stored on servers with other customer data?

Security Policies and Procedures

12. Have you formally assigned a staff member or third party the responsibility of overseeing your company's overall security program?
13. Do you have current, documented policies and procedures that include the following?
 - Enterprise Security Policy
 - Security Incident Response Policy and Supporting Procedures
 - Change Control Policy and Supporting Procedures
 - Acceptable/Responsible Use Policy
 - Privacy Policy
14. Do you perform background checks and/or credit checks for all users with access to confidential data?

Access Management

15. Do you enforce strong authentication controls, including complex passwords and multifactor authentication?
16. Do you support SAML 2.0 or Single Sign On?
17. Do you support Multifactor Authentication?
18. Will you have staff or consultants in foreign countries with the ability to access UNL Data?
19. Can you produce audit trails that will tie any system activity back to an individual?

Asset and Vulnerability Management

20. Do you securely configure (harden) systems and devices using industry standard baselines? Systems and devices include:
 - a. Clients
 - b. Servers
 - c. Databases
 - d. Applications
 - e. Network Devices
21. Do you maintain vulnerability management procedures that include identifying and remediating technical vulnerabilities?
22. Do you have a documented change control process?
23. Do you maintain and monitor current virus protection software?

Physical Security

24. Does your data center have any security certifications?

Security Incident Response

25. Please describe (at a high level) the technical and operational controls you have implemented to help you detect and respond to security events and incidents.

26. How frequently do you log and review security-related events? Do you have the capability of sharing these logs with UNL/University of Texas System Information Security Office?

Security Assessments

27. How often do you conduct internal security controls assessments?

28. How often do you conduct external network and application penetration testing?

29. Does your organization have system and/or process certifications, including one or more of the following?

If applicable, please provide current attestations.

- a. SSAE16
- b. HIPAA
- c. PCI DSS
- d. FERPA
- e. ISO 27001
- f. NIST/FISMA
- g. Other

Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity

30. Do you have a disaster recovery plan (DRP) and a business continuity plan (BCP) for all systems and business processes supporting UNL data?

31. What is your expected recovery time for the services provided to UNL?

32. Describe the number and location(s) of data centers that will house the UNL data or services, either vendor owned facilities or via third party. Include high-level network diagrams and any certified Tier ratings if available.